#### PLANNING AND HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# APPLICATIONS UNDER VARIOUS ACTS / REGULATIONS – SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Application Number: 13/03618/FUL

#### Address: 414 Windmill Lane S5 6FZ

#### **Additional Information**

The applicant cannot attend the meeting but wishes her views to be represented to Members. The applicant sent an e-mail highlighting the following points:

- The applicant says she phoned the Council about the porch being built and gave the size of the porch and was told it was fine to start work but she was advised to send a letter to confirm this.
- Someone from the Council (housing services) later came to the site to sign off the works and it was only at that point that she was told that planning permission was needed.
- The applicant is concerned that housing services did not advise her to check with the Planning Service first and she feels she has been put in this position through no fault of her own.
- The applicant has spent a lot of money on this porch, which is a replacement for one that was there previously, which she believed was an eyesore and was falling down.
- The applicant believes that this new porch is much better than the previous one, which she has sent a street view image to illustrate.
- The applicant wants to keep her house looking nice as she really cares about it

#### Officer Response

The street view shot of the previous porch demonstrates that it was of a more modest size with approximate dimensions of 1.5 metres in projection x 2.3 metres in width with a mono-pitched roof of a total height of approximately 2.5 metres. It appears to have been constructed using a dwarf wall in a matching brick to 3 sides to an approximate height of 800mm. The remainder of the porch was primarily glazed with white UPVC frames and its appearance was similar to a large, square bay window, with an entrance door to the side. The mono-pitched roof was tiled using profiled tiles. It is considered that the new porch that has been erected remains unacceptable for the reasons already described in the main report.

## 2. Application Number 13/03412/FUL

### Little Intake Farm, Woodhead Road

## Address

## Description

The description should be amended to remove the reference to the construction of a new building.

## Representations

A letter of objection has been received from Angela Smith M.P. This reiterates objections made by local residents namely,

- Effect on an Area of High Landscape Value
- A way of getting residential development on the site
- Impact on the ecology of the area
- Noise and traffic disturbance due to the intensity of use
- Amended plans increase the number of caravans to be accommodated
- The scale of the toilet block has been increased and the concern that the water treatment facility will not cope with the level of use.
- Intrusion into the Green Belt not justified by the creation of a small number of jobs
- A temporary permission for the period of the Tour de France would be appropriate but not a permanent one.
- The proposal does not constitute "exceptional circumstances.

Councillor Hurst has submitted an email raising concerns of the Grenoside Conservation Society. This raises issues, some of which are already set out in the report. Other concerns are:

- that once the buildings are converted, the changes will be permanent
- permission to convert the buildings should not be given until there is concrete evidence of the potential long term demand for camping in the area, the effects of up to 180 campers/caravaners may have on the Green Belt and Area of High Landscape Value.

## 3. Application Number 13/03814/FUL

## Address Sheffield Ski Village, Vale Road

#### Additional request from applicant re development plan allocation.

The applicant has asked that Members be made aware of the allocation of the land around the former Ski Village buildings in the Pre-submission City Policies and Sites document and the proposals map. The land is identified as a General Employment Area allowing a range of uses including recreation, sport, leisure, employment and commercial, but not residential. This allows for a great degree of flexibility and we are not proposing to promote the long term protection of the area for employment uses through a site allocation as per paragraph 22 of the NPPF. In terms of the weight which can be afforded to this document, then although it was approved by Full Council in April 2013 and was consulted on during Summer 2013, the recent decision not to submit it to the Secretary of State means it cannot carry any more than 'limited weight' and only if there are no significant outstanding objections from the recent consultation and the from previous consultation in 2010. The General Employment Area did receive objections in 2010 so it carries very limited weight.

## Representations

A late representation has been received from the Friends of Parkwood Springs (FPS) which it is understood to have been circulated to Committee Members prior to the meeting.

To summarise,

- FPS point out that the application is not for the whole site and the rest of the site should also be tidied and made secure.
- Members should visit the site
- The application is for landfill which should not be accepted
- The site is to be left bare
- The infilling will make it harder for skiing to be reinstated
- The levelling of land will be out of character with the rest of the area.
- Levelling of the site will make it easier for house building in the future
- The application should be refused until a proposal for the whole site can be considered.

# 4. Application Number 13/03711/FUL

#### Address

# Land between Cowley Hill and Chapeltown Park

# Add Condition

Before the development is commenced, full details of directional signs at the north of the park end of the Trans-Pennine Trail shall have been submitted to and approved by the LPA. The signs shall be provided prior to the use of the footpath commencing.

# 5. Application Number

## Address

6. Application Number Address This page is intentionally left blank